

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)
)
 MEETING STREET AT TENNYSON)
 ROW HORIZONTAL PROPERTY)
 REGIME BY MEETING STREET AT)
 TENNYSON ROW HOMEOWNER'S)
 ASSOCIATION, INC.)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MEETING STREET BUILDERS, LLC,)
 ET. AL.)
)
 Defendants.)
 _____)

IN THE COURT OF COMMONS PLEAS
 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2008-CP-10-7217

VERDICT FORM

1) On the cause of action for negligence, on which the Court has directed judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendants, we, the jury, award the following amount in damages:

\$ 10,000,000
 (Preponderance of the Evidence)

2) Was the Plaintiff negligent?

_____ YES - Go to Question 3
 NO - Go to Question 5

3) Was the Plaintiff's negligence a proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries?

_____ YES - Go to Question 4
 _____ NO - Go to Question 5

4) Having found the existence of both negligence and comparative negligence in this matter, what percentage of negligence is attributable to the Plaintiff and what percentage is attributable to the Defendants? [The percentage must add up to 100%].

Plaintiff	_____ %
Defendants	_____ %
Total	_____ %

[Do not reduce the Plaintiff's total damages based on the percentage of negligence by any party. After you have answered these questions, the judge will compute the amount of damages for which the defendant is responsible based on the percentage of the defendant's negligence which you have decided proximately caused the Plaintiff's injuries.]

5) On the cause of action for breach of warranty, on which the Court has directed judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendants, we, the jury, award the following amount in damages:

\$ 500,000
(Preponderance of the Evidence)

6) On the cause of action for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, we, the jury, find for:

 Plaintiff
 Defendants

If you find for the Defendants as to this cause of action, go to question 7.
If you find for the Plaintiff as to this cause of action, please set forth the amount of actual damages, if any, resulting to the Plaintiff as a result of the breach of fiduciary duty:

\$ 1,000,000
(Preponderance of the Evidence)

7. With regard to Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages, we the jury, by clear and convincing evidence, unanimously find that plaintiff is:

 Not entitled to punitive damages

 ✓ Entitled to punitive damages in the amount of:

\$ 1,000,000 Punitive Damages.
(Clear and Convincing Evidence)

September 26, 2011
Charleston, South Carolina

Robert S. Candall
Jury Foreperson