FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

COUNTY OF CHARLESTON IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No.: 2008-CP-10-0049

4 23

Mark F. Teseniar, et al

versus

Professional Plastering & Stucco, Inc.

PLAIN	VTIFFS DEFENDANT(S)			
<u>CHEC</u> []	JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the verdict has been rendered.			
[X]	DECISION BY COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have tried or heard and a decision rendered.			
[]	ACTION DISMISSED (<u>CHECK REASON</u>): [] Rule 12(b), SCRCP; [] Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. nonsuit) [] Rule 43(k), SCRCP(Settled); [] Other -			
[]	ACTION STRICKEN (<u>CHECK REASON</u>): [] Rule 40(j), SCRCP; [] Bankruptcy; [] Binding Arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; [] Other			
[]	DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX): [] Affirmed; [] Reversed; [] Remanded; [] Other NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING THE LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.			
IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: [] See attached order. (Formal order to follow) [X] Statement of Judgment by the Court:				
-By Unanimous Decision, Jury found in favor of Plaintiff on both counts, awarding Plaintiff \$7,723,225.00 actual damages.				
Dated a	at Charleston, South Carolina, this day of May, 2011. PRESIDING JUDGE			

SCCA SCRP Form 4Revised 6/2008

Clerk of Court Julie J. Armstrong

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CASE NO.: 2008-CP-10-0049
MARK F. TESENIAR AND NAN M. TESENIAR, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, and TWELVE OAKS AT FENWICK PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., (from December 16, 2008 to present),)	VERDICT FORM
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.)	
PROFESSIONAL PLASTERING & STUCCO, INC.,)	
Defendants.	_)	
1. On the claim of Negligence, we the ju Plaintiffs Defendant	ıry, f	and in favor of:
2. On the Claim of Breach of Implied W we the jury, find in favor of: Plaintiffs	'arra	nty of Good and Workmanlike Service,
Defendant		

The Plaintiffs have received a total of \$8,025,000 in settlements in this matter from other parties. Therefore, any damages that you award Plaintiffs would be in addition to those damages already received.

DAMAGES

4. If you found in favor of Plaintiffs on either cause of action, please state the amount of damages sustained by the plaintiff.

\$ 7, 723, 225 Actual Damages

5. If you awarded any Actual Damages to the Plaintiffs, please state the amount of Punitive Damages, if any, to be awarded against the Defendant.

\$ Punitive Damages

I certify that this decision is the unanimous decision of the jury.

Foreperson

17 . 15

May 2, 2011

CHRIS SNELSON